The Honorable Richard A. Jones and 1 The Honorable John H. Chun 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR23-178 RAJ and 11 Plaintiff, NO. CR23-179 JHC 12 13 NOTICE OF RELATED CASE v. 14 BINANCE HOLDINGS LIMITED, d/b/a FILED UNDER SEAL 15 BINANCE.COM, and 16 CHANGPENG ZHAO, aka "CZ," 17 Defendants. 18 I. INTRODUCTION 19 These cases arise out of a highly complex five-year investigation of the world's 20 largest cryptocurrency exchange, Binance.com. The investigation revealed that, beginning 21 at its inception in 2017, Binance Holdings Limited ("Binance"), and Binance's founder 22 and chief executive officer ("CEO"), Changpeng Zhao, failed to comply with U.S. laws 23 requiring them to register Binance.com as a money services business ("MSB"), implement 24 an effective anti-money laundering ("AML") program, and to comply with U.S sanctions 25 laws. 26

27

1 | 2 | the 3 | Th 4 | dif 5 | co 6 | ac 7 | M 8 | bo 9 | su 10 | 11 | 12 | ch

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The United States has reached plea agreements with Zhao and Binance. Pursuant to these agreements, the United States filed separate Informations against each Defendant. The United States filed separate Informations because the Defendants are pleading to different charges. Nevertheless, the charges arise out of the same underlying conduct—conduct that was extensive and complex, and requires a thorough understanding of the actions of Binance and its officers as well as the legal and regulatory schemes governing MSB registration, AML programs, and sanctions. Common questions will be presented in both cases at every stage of the cases, including sentencing. Accordingly, the United States submits this Notice of Related Cases.

II. DISCUSSION

On November 14, 2023, the United States filed a thirty-two-page Information charging Binance Holdings Limited with Conspiracy to Conduct an Unlicensed Money Transmitting Business and to Fail to Maintain an Effective Anti-Money Laundering Program (Count 1), Conducting an Unlicensed Money Transmitting Business (Count 2), and violating the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (Count 3). This was assigned case number CR23-178 RAJ. On the same day, the United States filed a nine-page Information charging Zhao with a single substantive count of Failure to Maintain an Effective Anti-Money Laundering Program. This case was assigned case number CR23-179 JHC.

Local Criminal Rule 13 encourages counsel "to file a notice of related case in order to bring such cases to the Court's attention." The Court may reassign criminal cases pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 13, which provides:

(a) **Common Questions**. When criminal cases involving common questions of law and fact (but not necessarily the same parties) are assigned to different judges, there may be good reason to assign all of said cases to one judge. Such may be assigned to the judge to whom the case bearing the earliest filing number was assigned, at his or her option.

2627

These cases involve multiple common questions of law and fact. Both cases arise out of the operations of Zhao's company, Binance, and Binance's failure to register with a U.S. regulator, failure to develop an effective AML program, and failure to ensure that its platform did not cause illegal transactions between U.S. persons and persons in sanctioned jurisdictions, as well as Binance's sophisticated attempts to conceal its noncompliance with U.S. law. The conduct spans at least five years, involves numerous Binance officers and third parties, millions of users, and billions of transactions valued at trillions of dollars, and it is governed by the same intricate legal and regulatory framework.

The United States has reached plea agreements with both Defendants. These agreements were negotiated in tandem and are designed to address complex questions about future monitoring and compliance, as well as the ongoing roles of Binance and Zhao in the cryptocurrency industry.

For these reasons, reassignment to the same judge may be in the interest of judicial economy. Counsel for Defendants agrees that reassignment is appropriate.

1	DATED this 14th day of November,	2023.
2		
3	MARGARET A. MOESER Acting Chief	TESSA M. GORMAN Acting United States Attorney
4	Money Laundering and Asset Recovery	Western District of Washington
5	Section, Criminal Division U.S. Department of Justice	U.S. Department of Justice
6	/s Kevin G. Mosley	/s Michael Dion
7		
8	Kevin G. Mosley Elizabeth R. Carr	Michael Dion Assistant United States Attorney
9	Trial Attorneys	Tissistant Sinted States Titterney
10	JENNIFER KENNEDY GELLIE	
11	Acting Chief Counterintelligence and Export Control	
12	Section, National Security Division	
13	U.S. Department of Justice	
14	/s Beau D. Barnes	
15	Beau D. Barnes Alex Wharton	
16	Trial Attorneys	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
2	I hereby certify that on November 14, 2023, I served this filing upon counsel for	
3	Defendants by email.	
4		
5	/s Michael Dion MICHAEL DION	
6	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
7	U.S. Attorney's Office	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		